

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,518	KLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leland R. Jorgensen	2675	

All Participants:

 (1) Leland R. Jorgensen.

 (2) Paul N. Katz.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2005
Time: 4:30 EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Jorgensen noticed that the arguments to the last office action had arguments directed to 09/827,558. Jorgensen phoned Mr. Katz. Since the mistake was inadvertent and the amendment was a bona fide attempt to answer the prior office action, the examiner will give Mr. Katz a one month period of time to correct the problem. .